

**From:** Mia Nelson [Mia@friends.org]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 30, 2010 1:48 PM  
**To:** HOWE Kent  
**Cc:** WILKINSON Sarah W  
**Subject:** Suggested re-write for LC 16.400

Kent,

As we discussed the other day, I'd like to suggest that the language in LC 16.400 regarding Special Purpose Plans be cleaned up. Currently, the code has all of these as components of the Rural portion of the Comp Plan, when in fact all except the Housing Plan are countywide and therefore cannot be part of the Rural Comp Plan. There is a contradiction here with the language in the first pages of the Rural Comp Plan itself, and the diagram that's found there.

All of this made things quite confusing when the county was updating its population forecast. Money was wasted on all sides debating what the code really meant. In view of the opportunity now presented by the ongoing re-write of the code, I encourage LMD to add this issue to the list of "housekeeping" changes that need to be made. Probably it could be added on to the bundle now before the LCPC. I do not have suggested language for a fix but doubt it would be hard to do.

Mia

---

Mia Nelson  
Willamette Valley Advocate  
1000 Friends of Oregon  
220 East 11th Avenue, Suite 5  
Eugene, OR 97401  
(541) 653-8703 office  
(503) 575-2416 fax