

## BARRY Celia

---

**From:** BARRY Celia  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 22, 2009 10:02 AM  
**To:** HANDY Rob M  
**Cc:** CLARK Andy; VORHES Stephen L; SCHUETZ Petra; COBURG Planning; CALLISTER Jacob (LCOG); 'COLE Terry D'; RICHARDSON Liane I; FLEENOR Bill A; STEWART Faye H; DWYER Bill J; SORENSON Pete; SPARTZ Jeff R; MILLER Marsha A  
**Subject:** RE: DLCD comments on Coburg/I-5 IAMP  
**Attachments:** FW: Status of Adoption of Coburg/I-5 IAMP PA-01-08

Commissioner Handy,  
Please see the attached email from the Coburg City Planner. Would you please let me know where from where you obtained the letter?

I will put together a supplement to put in the binder that is in the Board office and all the Commissioners will receive it as well.

Thanks in advance.

Celia Barry  
LCPW Transportation Planning  
541.682.6935

---

**From:** COLE Terry D [mailto:Terry.D.COLE@odot.state.or.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2009 10:00 AM  
**To:** BARRY Celia  
**Cc:** CLARK Andy; VORHES Stephen L; SCHUETZ Petra; COBURG Planning; CALLISTER Jacob (LCOG)  
**Subject:** RE: DLCD comments on Coburg/I-5 IAMP

Hi Celia,

I agree that the policy language does address the DLCD concern. Thanks for the heads-up. I do plan to attend on the 5th.

Terry

---

**From:** BARRY Celia [mailto:Celia.BARRY@CO.Lane.OR.US]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 20, 2009 3:36 PM  
**To:** COLE Terry D  
**Cc:** CLARK Andy; VORHES Stephen L; SCHUETZ Petra; COBURG Planning; CALLISTER Jacob (LCOG)  
**Subject:** FW: DLCD comments on Coburg/I-5 IAMP

Terry,  
Fyi. I don't believe I've seen this letter before. My initial reaction is that the policy language does in fact address the DLCD concern. Your thoughts?

07/30/2009

Typically they do not have any discussion at the 1st reading (tomorrow) but simply read the Ordinance title. I don't see a need for you to be there; we can address any lingering questions later.

Thanks Terry.

Celia Barry  
LCPW Transportation Planning  
541.682.6935

---

**From:** HANDY Rob M  
**Sent:** Monday, July 20, 2009 12:00 PM  
**To:** DWYER Bill J; FLEENOR Bill A; RICHARDSON Liane I; SORENSON Pete; SPARTZ Jeff R; STEWART Faye H  
**Cc:** MILLER Marsha A; BARRY Celia  
**Subject:** FW: DLCD comments on Coburg/I-5 IAMP

All,

Not sure I see this included in our binder of the Coburg/ I-5 IAMP. I have questions that will refer to the DLCD comments tomorrow.

Thanks,

-Rob

lane county:

**wOrking**  
for you

**Rob Handy**  
Lane County Commissioner  
Public Service Building  
125 East Eighth Avenue  
Eugene, Oregon 97401  
(541) 682-4203 phone  
(541) 682-4616 fax  
[Rob.Handy@co.lane.or.us](mailto:Rob.Handy@co.lane.or.us)

*All communication with a Lane County Commissioner becomes part of the public record and is not confidential. Please keep this in mind in all communications.*

07/30/2009

## BARRY Celia

---

**From:** Moore, Ed W [ed.w.moore@state.or.us]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 22, 2009 12:40 PM  
**To:** BARRY Celia; MOORE ED (LCOG List); SCHUETZ Petra  
**Cc:** SCHUESSLER Don; CALLISTER Jacob (LCOG); BERNARD Mark A; MECHAM Milo R; COLE Terry D (OR)  
**Subject:** RE: Status of Adoption of Coburg/I-5 IAMP PA-01-08

Celia,

I went back through my sent e-mails both in my files and within Outlook and couldn't find one. I did find an e-mail to Salem on 2.20.2009 indicating I sent it; so if not e-mail it must have been sent snail-mail.

Ed

---

**From:** BARRY Celia [Celia.BARRY@CO.Lane.OR.US]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 22, 2009 11:43  
**To:** MOORE ED (LCOG List); MOORE ED (LCOG List); SCHUETZ Petra  
**Cc:** SCHUESSLER Don; CALLISTER Jacob (LCOG); BERNARD Mark A; MECHAM Milo R; COLE Terry D  
**Subject:** RE: Status of Adoption of Coburg/I-5 IAMP PA-01-08

Ed,

We're concerned that your letter never arrived in January. Knowing you're a paperless kind of guy, do you have the original email in which you sent this letter to the City?

Celia Barry  
LCPW Transportation Planning  
541.682.6935

---

**From:** Moore, Ed W [mailto:ed.w.moore@state.or.us]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 22, 2009 11:22 AM  
**To:** MOORE ED (LCOG List); SCHUETZ Petra  
**Cc:** BARRY Celia; SCHUESSLER Don; CALLISTER Jacob (LCOG); BERNARD Mark A; MECHAM Milo R; COLE Terry D (OR)  
**Subject:** RE: Status of Adoption of Coburg/I-5 IAMP PA-01-08

Petra,

Sorry to have bothered you, but thanks for the follow-up. I did get a copy of the letter to the county. Don't know what happened to the one sent to the city. No worries.

Cheers,

Ed

---

**From:** SCHUETZ Petra [PSchuetz@lcog.org]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 22, 2009 9:22  
**To:** MOORE ED (LCOG List)  
**Cc:** SCHUESSLER Don; CALLISTER Jacob (LCOG); MECHAM Milo R; BARRY Celia; BERNARD Mark A; COLE Terry

07/30/2009

D

**Subject:** FW: Status of Adoption of Coburg/I-5 IAMP PA-01-08

Ed-

I do not return from maternity leave until August. However, I have been following the City and County email strings regarding your questions and have the following information for you.

The City, for an unknown reason, did not receive DLCD's comments dated January 6, 2009. Your attachment is the first time I have seen the document. I don't believe the County received it either. Therefore, it was not included in the public record and this is why you never received a response from the City. On June 12th, City Council adopted the IAMP by ordinance. At that time, DLCD should have received the Notice of Adoption from the City. I will ask the interim planner to send this documentation as soon as possible. As you know, the IAMP requires co-adoption with the County. I believe that DLCD's comments could be addressed during the County Board of Commissioners review, though I will need to confirm this with the County.

-Petra

---

**From:** Moore, Ed W [mailto:ed.w.moore@state.or.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2009 9:13 AM  
**To:** SCHUESSLER Don  
**Cc:** Cortright, Bob; ed.w.moore@state.or.us; SCHULZ Stephanie E  
**Subject:** Status of Adoption of Coburg/I-5 IAMP PA-01-08

Don,

Earlier this year, when Petra was the city planner, Coburg initiated a plan amendment to adopt the Coburg/I-5 IAMP. As required under ORS 197.610, Coburg submitted a notice to the Department of the proposed action. After reviewing the proposal, we submitted comments, see attached letter, as of this date have not received any response. The Department has also not received any notice of adoption as required under ORS197.615.

Could you please tell me the status of this plan amendment? Was it adopted by the City Council? If so, when? And in what manner did Coburg respond to our comments?

In closing, if Coburg City Council did take action to either adopt, deny or table PA-01-08, then the City needs to submit the appropriate form, see attachments.

Should you have any questions, please give me a call or drop me an e-mail. I can be reached by phone at 971.239.9453.

Regards,

Ed

07/30/2009

## **BERNARD Mark A**

---

**From:** Moore, Ed W [ed.w.moore@state.or.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2009 9:25 AM  
**To:** BERNARD Mark A; SCHULZ Stephanie E  
**Cc:** CORTRIGHT Bob (OR); MOORE ED (LCOG List)  
**Subject:** Status of Plan Amendment for Coadoption of Coburg/I-5 IAMP  
**Attachments:** 2009-01-06 DLCD Comment Letter.doc

Mark,

Back in December you submitted to the Department a Notice of Proposed Plan Amendment to the above named project. The first evidentiary hearing was scheduled for January 2009 with adoption in April. Can you tell me the status of the plan amendment? If the plan amendment has not been adopted, I would like to forward to you a letter we sent the city and have it included in your record. If the County has taken action on the amendment, please submit the appropriated notice to DLCD as required under ORS 197.615.

Please give me a call if you have any questions, or drop me an e-mail. I can be reached by phone at 971.239.9453

Thanks,

Ed



# Oregon

Theodore R. Kulongoski, Governor

**Department of Land Conservation and Development  
Community Services Division  
South Willamette Valley Field Office**

644 A Street  
Springfield, OR 97478  
971.239.9453 – Mobile  
ed.w.moore@state.or.us  
Web Address: <http://www.oregon.gov/LCD>

TO: Petra Schuetz, Planning Director  
City of Coburg



FROM: Ed Moore, AICP  
South Willamette Valley Regional Representative

DATE: 6 January 2009

RE: **Comments on PA-01-08 (DLCD File # 002-08)  
Coburg – I-5 Interchange Area Management Plan**

The Department of Land Conservation and Development appreciates the opportunity to comment on the proposed Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) for I-5 @ Pearl Street/Van Duyn Rd in Coburg. While we understand that Coburg is planning to implement a mobility standard that could limit development that impacts the interchange, we still have concerns about the potential for regional retail uses in Coburg within the area managed by the IAMP. Department specialists have reviewed the draft and have the following comments to offer at this time.

1. ODOT and the City should agree on the function of the interchange as it relates to land use within the interchange area. Coburg limit future commercial development to that which serves the Coburg area - i.e. does not allow general retail uses that serve Eugene and Springfield areas. Policy language should make it clear that the function of the interchange is not to support such uses and that plan amendments or zone changes to allow such uses would not be able to count any capacity at the interchange towards meeting TPR or OHP requirements.

Put the other way round, the IAMP should reserve available interchange capacity for industrial development.

Respectfully yours,

*Ed Moore*

South Willamette Valley Regional Representative

Copy to file